

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,197	10/29/2003	Dennis C. Parker	03283-PA	7291
7590 02/17/2006			EXAMINER	
ARMSTRON	G, KRATZ, QUINTOS	SANDERS, KRIELLION ANTIONETTE		
HANSON & BROOKS, LLP				
Suite 220			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
502 Washington Avenue			1714	
Towson, MD 21204			DATE MAIL ED: 02/17/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/696,197	PARKER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kriellion A. Sanders	1714
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	<u> October 2005</u> .	
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.	•
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.[D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the applicati 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2 and 3 is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 3-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a least	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have beer eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/I Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

Art Unit: 1714

This action serves to correct typographical errors in the original action mailed 1/23/2006.

Applicant's period for response is restarted from the mailing date of this communication.

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 1 and 2 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 7/14/2005.

2. This application contains claims 1 and 2 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse. At such time when a final rejection is mailed, a complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Nelson, US Patent No.3196108.
- 5. Nelson discloses a method for preventing the advance of fire and creating a fire barrier comprising applying to the surface to be protected, an aqueous slurry of attapulgite clay. See col. 2, line 46 through col. 3, line 17 and col. 3, line 69 through col. 4, line 60.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 1714

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 3-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nelson, US Patent No. 3196108, (as applied to claim 12 above), in view of Crouch et al, US Patent No. 6395200 and further in view of Ellis, US Patent No. 5130184 and Stayner, US Patent No. 4216136.

Nelson discloses a method for preventing the advance of fire and creating a fire barrier comprising applying to the surface to be protected, an aqueous slurry of attapulgite clay, including a fire suppressing chemical such as a salt of an inorganic acid or ammonium sulfates or ammonium phosphates. See col. 2, line 46 through col. 3, line 17 and col. 3, line 69 through col. 4, line 60.

Crouch et al discloses a liquid fire retardant composition for aerial application to ground vegetation. The composition comprises fire retardant components, a colorant and an aqueous carrier. The composition consists of a fugitive component, a non-fugitive component and a fire retardant salt, such as **ammonium polyphosphate**. The "fugitive component" is a dye.

The non-fugitive component may, for example, various clays and other insoluble materials, e.g., attapulgite clay. See col. 5, lines 9- 52 and col. 7, line 50 through col. 8, line 49, Tables A and B.

Ellis discloses non-combustible thin coatings, is used to form a coherent fire-barrier on or between susceptible wood or plastic substrates, or other substances. Consisting of a slurry of Art Unit: 1714

magnesium "oxychloride" cement, high alumina mono-calcium aluminate cement and a colloidal silica dispersed in dimethyl formamide (DMF). The coating retains its structural integrity through prolonged exposure to flame temperatures of 2000 degree. F. This solution, in the proportion disclosed in the formulas listed in TABLE I, is the "activator" or gauging solution, used to wet out and hydrate the MgO and the calcium aluminate cement, and is the principal liquid vehicle for the aqueous-based fire-barrier paint. Ellis indicates that a gauging solution used in the compositions of the invention may be MgSO₄ at a specific gravity of 1.25, or 29 degree Baume. These are better known as the common **Epsom salts**, (MgSO.sub.4.7H.sub.2 O, a flaked, colorless crystalline material). Ellis therefore documents that Epsom salts are a specific type of magnesium sulfate. See the abstract, col. 7, line 55 through col. 9, line 2 and col. 17, lines 15-34.

Stayner also documents that **Epsom salts** are well recognized for producing flame retardant coatings. See col. 3, line 18 through col. 4, line 45.

Since magnesium sulfates or Epsom salts are well recognized in the art for providing flame retardant properties to coating compositions, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to employ Epsom salt of either of Ellis or Stayner et al as that flame retarding inorganic salt suggested by Nelson, absent a clear showing of unexpected results attributable to the inorganic salt employed.

Claims 3-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Speronello et al. US Patent No. 6432322 in view of Hallo et al US Patent No. 6482473 and Drew et al US Patent No. 5,204,154.

The rejection is repeated for reasons of record.

Art Unit: 1714

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 10/27/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's arguments, please note that applicant's claim 3 states specifically:

A composition useful for providing a fire protective coating on surfaces by immobilizing water therein and producing evaporative cooling comprising about 5-15% Attapulgite clay, about 1-10% magnesium sulfate with water to make 100%.

Speronello et al. US Patent No. 6432322 provides for a composition that may contain all of attapulgite clay, magnesium sulfate and water. The preferred composition also comprises a low solubility salt such as calcium sulfate and may additionally include a clay such as laponite clay. The preamble of claim 3 of the presently claimed invention, is a statement of intended use. The compositions as claimed must be useful for the purpose of providing a fire protective coating on a surface, however this statement of intended use does not preclude the use of the claimed compositions in alternative manners. Therefore, the reliance upon a reference, which teaches the components of the presently claimed composition in a utility that differs from the utility set forth in applicant's claims, is not prohibited. As was stated in the rejection, Speronello et al does not indicate that the invention is suitable for application wherein flame or fire protection is desired, however the compositions are useful for providing a fire protective coating on surfaces by virtue of the components therein. These components, Attapulgite clay, magnesium sulfate and water are recognized in the art for providing fire resistance to surfaces, as is documented by Hallo et al., discussed below.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,197

Art Unit: 1714

Hallo et al, US Patent No, 6482473, discloses a process for protecting surfaces from the direct application of concentrated heat. Said process comprises the steps of forming a colloidal suspension gel consisting essentially of a magnesium silicate hectorite clay and at least about 85% water by weight. The process employs magnesium silicate in the composition in the range of from about 5% to about 7% by weight, water in the range of 88% to 94.5% and a surfactant in the range of 0.5% to 5%. Also mentioned, is laponite, an especially preferred clay for purposes of the invention. The Laponite clay of Hallo et al is equated with the attapulgite clay of Speronello et al. See col. 2, line 38 through col. 3, line 46.

Speronello et al discloses the specific components of applicant's invention. Speronello et al does not disclose the same weight ratios of these components nor indicate that the combination of these components could have an intended use for providing a fire protective coating.

However, since a component and its functions may not be separated, the compositions of Speronello et al would inherently possess fire protective properties when used at certain weight ratios.

Looking to Hallo et al for a description of the appropriate ratios of components to formulate a fire protecting composition, the ordinary practitioner would have found it obvious to employ 15% of magnesium compound combined with a clay compound and 85% of water, in the manner described by Hallo et al, to achieve optimal fire protecting properties.

Drew et al, US Patent No. 5,204,154 discloses a composition comprising attapulgite clay and mixtures thereof, in an amount of from about 2 weight percent to about 30 weight percent based on the total composition weight, and a flame retardant selected from the group consisting of bicarbonate of soda, epsom salt and mixtures thereof, in an amount of from about 5 weight

Art Unit: 1714

percent to about 30 weight percent based on the total composition weight. See claim 28. Drew et al. would provide further suggestion as to appropriate weight ratios of clay and Epson salt to use to obtain satisfactory flame retarding properties.

Because the references outlined above have provided incentive for formulating the claimed compositions and indicate that the components therein possess flame protective properties, a method for preventing the advance of a fire utilizing the components of Speronello et al in the weight ratios suggested by Hallo et al and Drew et al would have also been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kriellion A. Sanders whose telephone number is 571-272-1122. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 6:30-7:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kriellion A. Sanders Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714 Application/Control Number: 10/696,197

Art Unit: 1714

ks

Page 8